

THE ABELIANIZATION OF THE JOHNSON KERNEL

ALEXANDRU DIMCA¹, RICHARD HAIN², AND STEFAN PAPADIMA³

ABSTRACT. We prove that the first complex homology of the Johnson subgroup of the Torelli group T_g is a non-trivial, unipotent T_g -module for all $g \geq 4$ and give an explicit presentation of it as a $\mathrm{Sym}_\bullet H_1(T_g, \mathbb{C})$ -module when $g \geq 6$. We do this by proving that, for a finitely generated group G satisfying an assumption close to formality, the triviality of the restricted characteristic variety implies that the first homology of its Johnson kernel K is a nilpotent module over the corresponding Laurent polynomial ring, isomorphic to the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of the associated graded Lie algebra of G . In this setup, we also obtain a precise nilpotence test.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Completion	6
3. Characteristic varieties	9
4. Infinitesimal Alexander invariant	13
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix a closed oriented surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$. The genus g mapping class group Γ_g is defined to be the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of Σ . For a commutative ring R , denote $H_1(\Sigma, R)$ by H_R . The intersection pairing $\theta : H_R \otimes H_R \rightarrow R$ is a unimodular, skew-symmetric bilinear form. Set $\mathrm{Sp}(H_R) = \mathrm{Aut}(H_R, \theta)$. The action of Γ_g on Σ induces a surjective

Date: May 4, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F34, 57N05; Secondary 16W80, 20F40, 55N25.

Key words and phrases. Torelli group, Johnson kernel, Malcev completion, I -adic completion, characteristic variety, support, nilpotent module, arithmetic group, associated graded Lie algebra, infinitesimal Alexander invariant.

¹ Partially supported by ANR-08-BLAN-0317-02 (SEDIGA).

² Supported in part by grant DMS-1005675 from the National Science Foundation.

³ Partially supported by CNCSIS-UEFISCSU project PNII-IDEI 1189/2008.

homomorphism $r : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$. The Torelli group T_g is defined to be the kernel of r . One thus has the extension

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow T_g \longrightarrow \Gamma_g \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Dennis Johnson [12] proved that T_g is finitely generated when $g \geq 3$.

The intersection form θ spans a copy of the trivial representation in $\wedge^2 H_R$. One therefore has the $\mathrm{Sp}(H_R)$ -module

$$V_R := (\wedge^3 H_R) / (\theta \wedge H_R)$$

which is torsion free as an R -module for all R .

Johnson [11] constructed a surjective morphism (the ‘‘Johnson homomorphism’’) $\tau : T_g \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and proved in [14] that it induces an $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ -module isomorphism

$$\bar{\tau} : H_1(T_g) / (2\text{-torsion}) \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The *Johnson group* K_g is the kernel of τ . By a fundamental result of Johnson [13], it is the subgroup of Γ_g generated by Dehn twists on separating simple closed curves.

The goal of this paper is to describe the Γ_g/K_g -module $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$. The first and third authors [4] proved that $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$ is finite dimensional whenever $g \geq 4$. Our first result is:

Theorem A. *If $g \geq 4$, then $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$ is a non-trivial, unipotent $H_1(T_g)$ -module and $H_1(T_g, \mathbb{C}_{\rho})$ vanishes for all non-trivial characters ρ in the identity component $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of $H^1(T_g, \mathbb{C}^*)$.*

When $g \geq 6$ we find a presentation of $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$ as a Γ_g/K_g -module. Describing this module structure requires some preparation.

Suppose that $g \geq 3$. Denote the highest weight summand of the second symmetric power of the $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module $\wedge^2 H_{\mathbb{C}}$ by Q .¹ There is a unique $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module projection (up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar), $\pi : \wedge^2 V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow Q$.

Define a left $\mathrm{Sym}_{\bullet}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module homomorphism

$$q : \mathrm{Sym}_{\bullet}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \wedge^3 V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_{\bullet}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes Q$$

by

$$q(f \otimes (a_0 \wedge a_1 \wedge a_2)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/3} f \cdot a_i \otimes \pi(a_{i+1} \wedge a_{i+2}).$$

The map q is $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$ -equivariant. Thus, the cokernel of q is both a $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module and a graded $\mathrm{Sym}_{\bullet}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module. We show in Section 4 that $\mathrm{coker}(q)$ is finite-dimensional, when $g \geq 6$. It follows that $\mathrm{coker}(q)$ is a $(\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \ltimes V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module, where $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts via its exponential $\exp v$. One therefore has the $(\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \ltimes V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module

$$(1.2) \quad M := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathrm{coker}(q),$$

¹If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$ is a set of fundamental weights of $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$, then Q is the irreducible module with highest weight $2\lambda_2$. Alternatively, it is the irreducible module corresponding to the partition $[2, 2]$.

where \mathbb{C} denotes the trivial module.

To relate the $(\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \times V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -action on M to the Γ_g/K_g -action on $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$, we recall that Morita [16] has shown that there is a Zariski dense embedding $\Gamma_g/K_g \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \times V_{\mathbb{C}}$, unique up to conjugation by an element of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & T_g/K_g & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_g/K_g & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \bar{\tau} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & V_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \times V_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Theorem B. *If $g \geq 6$, then there is an isomorphism $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C}) \cong M$ which is equivariant with respect to a suitable choice of the Zariski dense homomorphism $\Gamma_g/K_g \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \times V_{\mathbb{C}}$ described above.*

1.1. Relative completion. These results are proved using the *infinitesimal Alexander invariant* introduced in [17] and the *relative completion* of mapping class groups from [8]. Alexander invariants occur as K_g contains the commutator subgroup T'_g of T_g and K_g/T'_g is a finite vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. So one would expect $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$ to be closely related to the complexified Alexander invariant $H_1(T'_g, \mathbb{C})$ of T_g . A second step is to replace T_g by its Malcev (i.e., unipotent) completion, and K_g by the derived subgroup of the unipotent completion of T_g . These groups, in turn, are replaced by their Lie algebras. The resulting module is the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of T_g .

The role of relative completion of mapping class groups is that it allows, via Hodge theory, to identify filtered invariants, such as $H_1(T'_g, \mathbb{C})$, with their associated graded modules which are, in general, more amenable to computation. For example, the lower central series of T_g induces via conjugation a filtration on $H_1(T'_g, \mathbb{C})$, whose first graded piece is identified in [8] with $V(2\lambda_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}$, over $\mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$.

1.2. Alexander invariants. The classical Alexander invariant of a group G is the abelianization G'_{ab} of its derived subgroup $G' := [G, G]$. Conjugation by G endows it with the structure of a module over the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G_{\mathrm{ab}}$ of the abelianization of G . More generally, if N is a normal subgroup of G that contains G' , then one has the $\mathbb{C}G_{\mathrm{ab}}$ -module $N_{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C} = H_1(N, \mathbb{C})$. Our primary example is where $G = T_g$ and N is its Johnson subgroup K_g .

There is an infinitesimal analog of the Alexander invariant. It is obtained by replacing the group G by its (complex) Malcev completion $\mathcal{G}(G)$ (also known as its unipotent completion). The Malcev completion of G is a pronipotent group, and is thus determined by its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ via the exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G)$, which is a bijection. (Cf. [21, Appendix A].) The first version of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of G is the abelianization $\mathcal{B}(G) := \mathcal{G}(G)'_{\mathrm{ab}}$ of the

derived subgroup $\mathcal{G}(G)' = [\mathcal{G}(G), \mathcal{G}(G)]$ of $\mathcal{G}(G)$. One also has the abelianization $\mathfrak{b}(G)$ of the derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(G)' = [\mathfrak{g}(G), \mathfrak{g}(G)]$ of $\mathfrak{g}(G)$. The exponential mapping induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{b}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$. When N/G' is finite, one has the diagram

$$(1.3) \quad N_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(G) \xleftarrow[\text{exp}]{\simeq} \mathfrak{b}(G)$$

where the left most map is induced by the homomorphism $N \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G)'$.

The next step is to replace the Alexander invariant of $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ by a graded module by means of the lower central series. Recall the lower central series of a group G ,

$$G = G^1 \supseteq G^2 \supseteq G^3 \supseteq \dots$$

It is defined by $G^{q+1} = [G, G^q]$. One also has the lower central series $\{\mathfrak{g}(G)^q\}_{q \geq 1}$ of its Malcev Lie algebra. There is a natural graded Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G) := \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} (G^q/G^{q+1}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}(G)^q/\mathfrak{g}(G)^{q+1},$$

where the bracket of the left-hand side is induced by the commutator of G .

The *infinitesimal Alexander invariant* $\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$ of G , as introduced in [17], is the Alexander invariant of this graded Lie algebra, with a degree shift by 2:²

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G) := \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G)'_{\text{ab}}[2].$$

The adjoint action induces an action of the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G)_{\text{ab}} = G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$, and this makes the latter a (graded) module over the polynomial ring $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$. One reason for considering $\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$ is that, in general, it is easier to compute than $\mathfrak{b}(G)$.

The invariant $\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$ is most useful when G is a group whose Malcev Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ is isomorphic to the degree completion $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G)}$ of its associated graded Lie algebra. Groups that satisfy this condition include the Torelli group T_g when $g \geq 3$, which is proved in [8], and 1-formal groups³ (such as Kähler groups). An isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ with $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G)}$ induces an isomorphism of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathcal{B}(G)$ with the degree completion of $\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$.

When G is finitely generated, N/G' is finite and $H_1(N, \mathbb{C})$ is a finite dimensional nilpotent $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that all maps in (1.3) are isomorphisms.

²That is, as a graded vector space, $\mathfrak{b}_q(G) = \mathfrak{g}_{q+2}(G)'_{\text{ab}}$, for $q \geq 0$.

³In the sense of Dennis Sullivan [24]. Note that T_g is 1-formal when $g \geq 6$, but is not when $g = 3$.

1.3. Main general result. To emphasize the key features, it is useful to abstract the situation. Define the *Johnson kernel* K_G of a group G to be the kernel of the natural projection, $G \rightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$, where G_{abf} denotes the maximal torsion-free abelian quotient of G . Assume from now on that G is finitely generated. For example, when $g \geq 3$, the Torelli group $G = T_g$ is finitely generated, $G_{\text{abf}} = V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $K_G = K_g$.

Under additional assumptions, we want to relate the $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ to the graded $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -module $\mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$. The first issue is that the rings $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ and $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ are different. This is not serious as it is well-known that they become isomorphic, after completion. Specifically, denote the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ by $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ and the $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completion of $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}}$. The exponential mapping induces a filtered ring isomorphism,

$$(1.4) \quad \widehat{\exp}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Sym}_{\bullet}(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C}}),$$

with the degree completion of $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Recall that a $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module is *nilpotent* if it is annihilated by $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}^q$, for some q , and *trivial* if it is annihilated by $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$. When $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent, it has a *natural* structure of $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -module. Indeed, $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C}) = H_1(\widehat{K_G}, \mathbb{C})$ by nilpotence, so we may restrict via (1.4) the canonical $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C}})$ -module structure of $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ to $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$. We may now state our main general result.

Theorem C. *Suppose that G is a finitely generated group whose Malcev Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ is isomorphic to the degree completion of its associated graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G)$. If $H_1(G, \mathbb{C}_{\rho})$ vanishes for every non-trivial character $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ that factors through G_{abf} , then $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ is a finite-dimensional nilpotent $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module and there is a $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -module isomorphism $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{b}_{\bullet}(G)$. Moreover, $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}^q$ annihilates $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b}_q(G) = 0$.*

The vanishing of $H_1(G, \mathbb{C}_{\rho})$ above can be expressed geometrically in terms of the *character group* $\mathbb{T}(G) = \text{Hom}(G_{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of G . Since G is finitely generated, this is an algebraic torus. Its identity component $\mathbb{T}^0(G)$ is the subtorus $\text{Hom}(G_{\text{abf}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$. The *restricted characteristic variety* $\mathcal{V}(G)$ is the set of those $\rho \in \mathbb{T}^0(G)$ for which $H_1(G, \mathbb{C}_{\rho}) \neq 0$. It is known that $\mathcal{V}(G)$ is a Zariski closed subset of $\mathbb{T}^0(G)$. (This follows for instance from work by E. Hironaka in [10].) The vanishing hypothesis in Theorem C simply means that $\mathcal{V}(G)$ is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{V}(G) \subseteq \{1\}$.

Work by Dwyer and Fried [5] (as refined in [18]) implies that $\mathcal{V}(G)$ is finite precisely when $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ is finite-dimensional. This approach led in [4] to the conclusion that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C}) < \infty$, for $g \geq 4$. Further analysis (carried out in Section 3) reveals that $\mathcal{V}(G)$ is trivial if and only if $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent over $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$.

We show in Section 2 that the $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completions of $H_1(G', \mathbb{C})$ and $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ are isomorphic. The triviality of $\mathcal{V}(G)$ implies that the finite-dimensional vector space $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to its completion. On the other hand, the first

hypothesis of Theorem C implies, via a result from [3], that the degree completion of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$ is isomorphic to the $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completion of $H_1(G', \mathbb{C})$. The details appear in Section 4.

To prove Theorem A we need to check that $\mathcal{V}(T_g) \subseteq \{1\}$. This is achieved in two steps. Firstly, we improve one of the main results from [4], by showing that $\mathcal{V}(T_g)$ is not just finite, but consists only of torsion characters. This is done in a broader context, in Theorem 3.1. In this theorem, the symplectic symmetry plays a key role: the $\text{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ -module $(T_g)_{\text{ab}}$ gives a canonical action of $\text{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ on the algebraic group $\mathbb{T}^0(T_g)$. We know from [4] that this action leaves the restricted characteristic variety $\mathcal{V}(T_g)$ invariant. The second step is to infer that actually $\mathcal{V}(T_g) = \{1\}$. We prove this by using a key result due to Putman, who showed in [20] that all finite index subgroups of T_g that contain K_g have the same first Betti number when $g \geq 3$.

A basic result from [8], valid for $g \geq 3$, guarantees that T_g satisfies the assumption on the Malcev Lie algebra in Theorem C. Theorem A follows. Again by [8], the group T_g is 1-formal, when $g \geq 6$; equivalently, the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g)$ has a quadratic presentation. Theorem B follows from a general result in [17], that associates to a quadratic presentation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(G)$ a finite $\text{Sym}_\bullet(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -presentation for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$. When $g \geq 6$, we use the quadratic presentation of $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g)$ obtained in [8].

2. COMPLETION

We start in this section by establishing several general results, related to I -adic completions of Alexander-type invariants. We refer the reader to the books by Eisenbud [6, Chapter 7] and Matsumura [15, Chapter 9], for background on completion techniques in commutative algebra. Throughout the paper, we work with \mathbb{C} -coefficients, unless otherwise specified. The *augmentation ideal* of a group G , I_G , is the kernel of the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism, $\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that sends each group element to 1.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Note that G -conjugation endows $H_\bullet N$ with a natural structure of (left) module over the group algebra $\mathbb{C}(G/N)$, and similarly for cohomology. If N contains the derived subgroup G' , both $H_\bullet N$ and $H^\bullet N$ may be viewed as $\mathbb{C}(G/G')$ -modules, by restricting the scalars via the ring epimorphism $\mathbb{C}(G/G') \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}(G/N)$. When G is finitely generated, $H_1 N$ is a finitely generated module over the commutative Noetherian ring $\mathbb{C}(G/N)$.

An important particular case arises when $N = G'$. Denoting abelianization by $G_{\text{ab}} := G/G'$, set $B(G) := H_1 G' = G'_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C} = (G'/G'') \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and call $B(G)$ the *Alexander invariant* of G . These constructions are functorial, in the following sense. Given a group homomorphism, $\varphi : \overline{G} \rightarrow G$, it induces a \mathbb{C} -linear map, $B(\varphi) :$

$B(\overline{G}) \rightarrow B(G)$, and a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{C}\varphi : \mathbb{C}\overline{G}_{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$. Moreover, $B(\varphi)$ is $\mathbb{C}\varphi$ -equivariant, i.e., $B(\varphi)(\bar{a} \cdot \bar{x}) = \mathbb{C}\varphi(\bar{a}) \cdot B(\varphi)(\bar{x})$, for $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}\overline{G}_{\text{ab}}$ and $\bar{x} \in B(\overline{G})$.

The I -adic filtration of the $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module $B(G)$, $\{I_{G_{\text{ab}}}^q \cdot B(G)\}_{q \geq 0}$, gives rise to the completion map $B(G) \rightarrow \widehat{B(G)}$, and to the I -adic associated graded, $\text{gr}_{\bullet} B(G)$. By $\mathbb{C}\varphi$ -equivariance, $B(\varphi)$ respects the I -adic filtrations. Consequently, there is an induced filtered map, $\widehat{B(\varphi)} : \widehat{B(\overline{G})} \rightarrow \widehat{B(G)}$, compatible with the completion maps. One knows that $\widehat{B(\varphi)}$ is a filtered isomorphism if and only if $\text{gr}_{\bullet}(B\varphi) : \text{gr}_{\bullet} B(\overline{G}) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\bullet} B(G)$ is an isomorphism.

A useful related construction (see [22]) involves the lower central series of a group G . The (complex) associated graded Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G) := \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} (G^q / G^{q+1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is generated as a Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_1(G) = H_1 G$. Each group homomorphism $\varphi : \overline{G} \rightarrow G$ gives rise to a graded Lie algebra homomorphism, $\text{gr}_{\bullet}(\varphi) : \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(\overline{G}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}(G)$.

Malcev completion (over \mathbb{C}), as defined by Quillen [21, Appendix A], is a useful tool. It associates to a group G a complex pronilpotent group $\mathcal{G}(G)$, and a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G)$. The Malcev Lie algebra of G is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ of $\mathcal{G}(G)$. It is pronilpotent. The exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G)$ is a bijection.

The lower central series filtrations

$$\begin{aligned} G &= G^1 \supseteq G^2 \supseteq G^3 \supseteq \dots \\ \mathcal{G}(G) &= \mathcal{G}(G)^1 \supseteq \mathcal{G}(G)^2 \supseteq \mathcal{G}(G)^3 \supseteq \dots \\ \mathfrak{g}(G) &= \mathfrak{g}(G)^1 \supseteq \mathfrak{g}(G)^2 \supseteq \mathfrak{g}(G)^3 \supseteq \dots \end{aligned}$$

of G , $\mathcal{G}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ are preserved by the canonical homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G)$ and the exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G)$. They induce Lie algebra isomorphisms of the associated graded objects:

$$\text{gr}_{\bullet}(G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{gr}_{\bullet} \mathcal{G}(G) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{gr}_{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}(G)$$

(cf. [21, Appendix A]).

We will need the following basic fact, which is a straightforward generalization of a result [23] of Stallings: if a group homomorphism $\varphi : \overline{G} \rightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi^1 : H^1 G \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1 \overline{G}$ and a monomorphism $\varphi^2 : H^2 G \hookrightarrow H^2 \overline{G}$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\varphi) : \mathfrak{g}(\overline{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}(G)$$

is a filtered Lie isomorphism. A proof can be found in [9, Corollary 3.2].

With these preliminaries, we may now state and prove our first result.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that \overline{G} is a finite index subgroup of a finitely generated group G . If $\varphi_1 : H_1\overline{G} \rightarrow H_1G$ is an isomorphism, then $\widehat{B(\varphi)} : \widehat{B(\overline{G})} \rightarrow \widehat{B(G)}$ is a filtered isomorphism, where $\varphi : \overline{G} \hookrightarrow G$ is the inclusion map.*

Proof. Since $[G : \overline{G}]$ is finite, $\varphi^\bullet : H^\bullet G \rightarrow H^\bullet \overline{G}$ is a monomorphism. So, φ^1 is an isomorphism and φ^2 is injective. Hence, the filtered Lie isomorphism (2.1) holds.

Proposition 5.4 from [3] guarantees that the filtered vector space $\widehat{B(G)}$ is functorially determined by the filtered Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

Consider now a group extension

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi} \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1.$$

Denote by $p_\bullet : H_\bullet N \rightarrow (H_\bullet N)_Q$ the canonical projection onto the co-invariants. Clearly, $\psi_\bullet : H_\bullet N \rightarrow H_\bullet \pi$ factors through p_\bullet , giving rise to a map

$$(2.3) \quad q_\bullet : (H_\bullet N)_Q \rightarrow H_\bullet \pi.$$

When Q is finite, q_\bullet is an isomorphism; see Brown's book [1, Chapter III.10].

Given a $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module M , note that $I_N \cdot M$ is a $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -submodule of M ; see [1, Chapter II.2]. Consequently, the natural projection onto the N -co-invariants, $p : M \twoheadrightarrow M_N$, is $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -linear and induces a filtered map, $\hat{p} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}_N$, between I_π -adic completions.

We will need the following probably known result. For the reader's convenience, we sketch a proof.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that N is a finite subgroup of a finitely generated abelian group π . If M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module, then $\hat{p} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}_N$ is a filtered isomorphism.*

Proof. We start with a simple remark: if R is a finitely generated commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra and $I \subseteq R$ is a maximal ideal, then the roots of unity u from $1 + I$ act as the identity on $M/I^q \cdot M$, for all q , when M is a finitely generated R -module. Indeed, $u - 1$ annihilates $I^s \cdot M/I^{s+1} \cdot M$ for all s , so the u -action on the finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space $M/I^q \cdot M$ is both unipotent and semisimple, hence trivial.

Now, consider the exact sequence of finitely generated R -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow I_N \cdot M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_N \rightarrow 0,$$

where $R = \mathbb{C}\pi$. Tensoring it with R/I_π^q , we infer that our claim is equivalent to $I_N \cdot M \subseteq \cap_q I_\pi^q \cdot M$. This in turn follows from the remark. \square

Remark 2.3. Let M be a module over a group ring $\mathbb{C}\pi$, and $\overline{\pi} \twoheadrightarrow \pi$ a group epimorphism, giving M a structure of $\mathbb{C}\overline{\pi}$ -module, by restriction via $\mathbb{C}\overline{\pi} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}\pi$. Plainly, $I_\pi^q \cdot M = I_\pi^q \cdot M$, for all q . In particular, the $I_{\overline{\pi}}$ -adic and I_π -adic completions of M are filtered isomorphic, and M is nilpotent (or trivial) over $\mathbb{C}\overline{\pi}$ if and only if this happens over $\mathbb{C}\pi$.

Given a group G , set $G_{\text{abf}} := G_{\text{ab}}/(\text{torsion})$. The *Johnson kernel*, K_G , is the kernel of the canonical projection $G \twoheadrightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$. When $G = T_g$ and $g \geq 3$, Johnson's fundamental results from [11, 14] show that $K_G = K_g$, whence our terminology.

More generally, consider an extension

$$(2.4) \quad 1 \rightarrow G' \xrightarrow{\psi} K \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1,$$

with F finite. Plainly, $\psi_\bullet : H_\bullet G' \rightarrow H_\bullet K$ is $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -linear. Let $\widehat{\psi}_\bullet$ be the induced map on $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completions. (When $K = K_G$, note that $H_\bullet K_G$ is actually a $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$ -module, with $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module structure induced by restriction, via $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$. By Remark 2.3, its $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic and $I_{G_{\text{abf}}}$ -adic completions coincide.) Here is our second main result in this section.

Proposition 2.4. *If G is a finitely generated group and K is a subgroup like in (2.4), then $\widehat{\psi}_1 : \widehat{H}_1 G' \rightarrow \widehat{H}_1 K$ is a filtered isomorphism.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.2 to $F \subseteq G_{\text{ab}}$ and $M = H_1 G'$, to obtain a filtered isomorphism $\widehat{p} : \widehat{H}_1 G' \xrightarrow{\cong} (\widehat{H}_1 G')_F$ between $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completions. We conclude by noting that the isomorphism (2.3) coming from (2.4), $q : (H_1 G')_F \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1 K$, is $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -linear. The last claim is easy to check: plainly, the $\mathbb{C}F$ -module structure on $H_1 G'$ coming from (2.4) is the restriction to $\mathbb{C}F$ of the canonical $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -structure. \square

3. CHARACTERISTIC VARIETIES

We show that the (restricted) characteristic variety of T_g is trivial for all $g \geq 4$, as stated in Theorem A, thus improving one of the main results in [4]. Fix a symplectic basis of the first homology $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the reference surface Σ . This gives an identification of $\text{Sp}(H_R)$ with $\text{Sp}_g(R)$ for all rings R .

We start by reviewing a couple of definitions and relevant facts. Let G be a finitely generated group. The *character torus* $\mathbb{T}(G) = \text{Hom}(G_{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is a linear algebraic group with coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$. The connected component of $1 \in \mathbb{T}(G)$ is denoted $\mathbb{T}^0(G) = \text{Hom}(G_{\text{abf}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and has coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$.

The *characteristic varieties* of G are defined for (degree) $i \geq 0$, (depth) $k \geq 1$ by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{V}_k^i(G) = \{\rho \in \mathbb{T}(G) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_i(G, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \geq k\}.$$

Here \mathbb{C}_ρ denotes the $\mathbb{C}G$ -module \mathbb{C} given by the change of rings $\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to ρ . Their *restricted* versions are the intersections $\mathcal{V}_k^i(G) \cap \mathbb{T}^0(G)$. The restricted characteristic variety $\mathcal{V}_1^1(G) \cap \mathbb{T}^0(G)$ is denoted $\mathcal{V}(G)$. As explained in [4, Section 6], it follows from results in [10] about finitely presented groups that both $\mathcal{V}_k^1(G)$ and $\mathcal{V}_k^1(G) \cap \mathbb{T}^0(G)$ are Zariski closed subsets, for all k .

When $G = T_g$ and $g \geq 3$, these constructions acquire an important symplectic symmetry; see [4]. We recall that the linear algebraic group $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} , simple, with positive \mathbb{Q} -rank, and contains $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ as an arithmetic subgroup.

The Γ_g -conjugation in the defining extension (1.1) for T_g induces representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ in the finitely generated abelian groups $(T_g)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $(T_g)_{\mathrm{abf}}$. They give rise to natural $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ -representations in the algebraic groups $\mathbb{T}(T_g)$ and $\mathbb{T}^0(T_g)$, for which the inclusion $\mathbb{T}^0(T_g) \subseteq \mathbb{T}(T_g)$ becomes $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant. Furthermore, $\mathcal{V}(T_g) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^0(T_g)$ is $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant.

By Johnson's work [11, 14], we also know that the $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $(T_g)_{\mathrm{abf}}$ extends to a rational, irreducible and non-trivial $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -representation in $(T_g)_{\mathrm{abf}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

We will need the following refinement of a basic result on propagation of irreducibility, proved by Dimca and Papadima in [4]. This refinement is closely related to an open question formulated in [19, Section 10], on outer automorphism groups of free groups.

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a D -module which is finitely generated and free as an abelian group. Assume that D is an arithmetic subgroup of a simple \mathbb{C} -linear algebraic group S defined over \mathbb{Q} , with $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S) \geq 1$. Suppose also that the D -action on L extends to an irreducible, non-trivial, rational S -representation in $L \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Let $W \subset \mathbb{T}(L)$ be a D -invariant, Zariski closed, proper subset of $\mathbb{T}(L)$. Then W is a finite set of torsion elements in $\mathbb{T}(L)$.*

Proof. According to one of the main results from [4] (which needs no non-triviality assumption on the S -representation $L \otimes \mathbb{C}$), W must be finite. We have to show that any $t \in W$ is a torsion point of $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}(L)$. We know that the stabilizer of t , D_t , has finite index in D . By Borel's density theorem, D_t is Zariski dense in S .

Suppose that $t \in W$ has infinite order, and let $\mathbb{T}_t \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ be the Zariski closure of the subgroup generated by t . By our assumption, the closed subgroup \mathbb{T}_t is positive-dimensional. Since \mathbb{T}_t is fixed by D_t , the Lie algebra $T_1\mathbb{T}_t \subseteq T_1\mathbb{T} = \mathrm{Hom}(L \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is D_t -fixed as well. By Zariski density, $T_1\mathbb{T}_t$ is then a non-zero, S -fixed subspace of $T_1\mathbb{T}$, contradicting the non-triviality hypothesis on $L \otimes \mathbb{C}$. \square

We know from [4] that $\mathcal{V}(T_g)$ is finite, for $g \geq 4$. We may apply Theorem 3.1 to $D = \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $L = (T_g)_{\mathrm{abf}}$, $S = \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ and $W = \mathcal{V}(T_g)$. We infer that $\mathcal{V}(T_g)$ consists of m -torsion elements in $\mathbb{T}^0(T_g)$, for some $m \geq 1$.

To derive the triviality of $\mathcal{V}(T_g)$ from this fact, we will use another standard tool from commutative algebra. For an affine \mathbb{C} -algebra A , let $\mathrm{Specm}(A)$ be its maximal spectrum. For a \mathbb{C} -algebra map between affine algebras, $f : A \rightarrow B$, $f^* : \mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ stands for the induced map, that sends $\mathrm{Specm}(B)$ into $\mathrm{Specm}(A)$. For a finitely generated A -module M , the *support* $\mathrm{supp}_A(M)$ is the Zariski closed subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ $V(\mathrm{ann}(M)) = V(E_0(M))$, where $E_0(M)$ is the ideal generated by the codimension zero minors of a finite A -presentation for M ; see [6, Chapter 20].

There is a close relationship between characteristic varieties and supports of Alexander-type invariants. Let N be a normal subgroup of a finitely generated

group G , with abelian quotient. Denote by $\nu : G \twoheadrightarrow G/N$ the canonical projection, and let $\nu^* : \mathbb{T}(G/N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(G)$ be the induced map on maximal spectra of corresponding abelian group algebras. It follows for instance from Theorem 3.6 in [18] that ν^* restricts to an identification (away from 1)

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Specm}(\mathbb{C}(G/N)) \cap \text{supp}_{\mathbb{C}(G/N)}(H_1N) \equiv \text{im}(\nu^*) \cap \mathcal{V}_1^1(G).$$

We will need the following (presumably well-known) result on supports. For the sake of completeness, we include a proof.

Lemma 3.2. *If $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ is an integral extension of affine \mathbb{C} -algebras and M is a finitely generated B -module, then M is finitely generated over A and $\text{supp}_A(M) = f^*(\text{supp}_B(M))$, $\text{Specm}(A) \cap \text{supp}_A(M) = f^*(\text{Specm}(B) \cap \text{supp}_B(M))$.*

Proof. The reader may consult [6, Chapter 4], for background on integral extensions. Clearly, $\text{ann}_A(M) = A \cap \text{ann}_B(M)$, and the extension $\bar{f} : A/\text{ann}_A(M) \hookrightarrow B/\text{ann}_B(M)$ is again integral. The inclusion $f^*(\text{supp}_B(M)) \subseteq \text{supp}_A(M)$ follows from the definitions. For the other inclusion, pick any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} containing $\text{ann}_A(M)$. Since \bar{f} induces a surjection on prime spectra, there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} containing $\text{ann}_B(M)$, such that $f^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$, and \mathfrak{q} is maximal, if \mathfrak{p} is maximal. \square

The interpretation (3.2) for the closed points of the support of Alexander-type invariants leads to the following key nilpotence test.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\nu : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a group epimorphism with finitely generated source, abelian image and kernel N . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The $\mathbb{C}H$ -module H_1N is nilpotent.*
- (2) *The inclusion $\text{Specm}(\mathbb{C}H) \cap \text{supp}_{\mathbb{C}H}(H_1N) \subseteq \{1\}$ holds.*
- (3) *The intersection $\nu^*(\mathbb{T}(H)) \cap \mathcal{V}_1^1(G)$ is contained in $\{1\}$.*

Proof. Note that $1 \in \mathbb{T}(H)$ corresponds to the maximal ideal $I_H \subseteq \mathbb{C}H$. With this remark, the equivalence (1) \iff (2) becomes an easy consequence of the Hilbert Nullstellensatz. The equivalence (2) \iff (3) follows directly from (3.2). \square

For a group G , we denote by $p_G : G \twoheadrightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$ the canonical projection. Assume G is finitely generated and fix an integer $m \geq 1$. Denoting by $\iota_m : G_{\text{abf}} \hookrightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$ the multiplication by m , note that its extension to group algebras, $\mathbb{C}\iota_m : \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$, is integral, and the associated map on maximal spectra, $\mathbb{C}\iota_m^* : \mathbb{T}(G_{\text{abf}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(G_{\text{abf}})$, is the m -power map of the character group $\mathbb{T}(G_{\text{abf}})$.

Let $p_m : G(m) \twoheadrightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$ be the pull-back of p_G via ι_m . Clearly, $G(m)$ is a normal, finite index subgroup of G containing the Johnson kernel K_G , with inclusion denoted $\varphi_m : G(m) \hookrightarrow G$, and

$$(3.3) \quad p_G \circ \varphi_m = \iota_m \circ p_m.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that all finite index subgroups of G containing K_G have the same first Betti number. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The map induced by φ_m on I -adic completions, $\widehat{B(\varphi_m)} : \widehat{B(G(m))} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{B(G)}$, is a filtered isomorphism.*
- (2) *The inclusion $K_{G(m)} \subseteq K_G$ is actually an equality.*

Proof. Our assumption implies that φ_m induces an isomorphism $H_1G(m) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1G$. Property (1) follows then from Proposition 2.1. The second claim is a consequence of the fact that p_m may be identified with $p_{G(m)}$. To obtain this identification, we apply to (3.3) the functor abf . By construction, $\text{abf}(p_G)$ is an isomorphism and $\text{abf}(\iota_m) = \iota_m$ is a rational isomorphism. We also know that $\text{abf}(\varphi_m) \otimes \mathbb{Q} : H_1(G(m), \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(G, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism. We infer that $\text{abf}(p_m)$ is a rational isomorphism, hence an isomorphism. \square

Proof of Theorem A (except for the non-triviality assertion). We first prove that $\mathcal{V}(T_g) = \{1\}$. According to a recent result of Putman [20], the group $G = T_g$ satisfies for $g \geq 3$ the hypothesis of Lemma 3.4. Denote by $\psi : G' \hookrightarrow K_G$ and $\psi_m : G(m)' \hookrightarrow K_{G(m)} = K_G$ the inclusions. According to Proposition 2.4, they induce filtered isomorphisms, $\widehat{B(G)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{H_1K_G}$ and $\widehat{B(G(m))} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{H_1K_G}$, between the corresponding I -adic completions. In these isomorphisms, the $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$ -module structure of $M = H_1K_G$ comes from the group extension associated to p_G , respectively p_m . Denote the second $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$ -module by mM , and note that mM is obtained from M by restriction of scalars, via $\mathbb{C}\iota_m : \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$.

Taking into account the isomorphism from Lemma 3.4(1), it follows that $\text{id}_M : {}^mM \rightarrow M$, viewed as a $\mathbb{C}\iota_m$ -equivariant map, induces an isomorphism between the corresponding I -adic completions.

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{T}(G_{\text{abf}})$ be a closed point of $\text{supp}_{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}}(M)$. By (3.2), applied to $N = K_G$, $\mathbb{C}\iota_m^*(\rho) = 1$, since $\mathcal{V}(G)$ consists of m -torsion points, for $g \geq 4$. We infer from Lemma 3.2, applied to $f = \mathbb{C}\iota_m : \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$, that $\text{Specm}(\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}) \cap \text{supp}_{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}}({}^mM) \subseteq \{1\}$. Take $\nu = p_m : G(m) \twoheadrightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$ in Lemma 3.3, whose kernel is $K_{G(m)} = K_G$. We deduce that mM is nilpotent over $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$, that is, $I^q \cdot {}^mM = 0$ for some q , where $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$ is the augmentation ideal.

Denote by $\kappa_m : M \rightarrow \widehat{{}^mM}$ and $\kappa : M \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ the completion maps, with kernels $\bigcap_{r \geq 0} I^r \cdot {}^mM$ and $\bigcap_{r \geq 0} I^r \cdot M$. It follows from naturality of completion that κ is injective, since κ_m is injective and $\widehat{\text{id}_M} : \widehat{{}^mM} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{M}$ is an isomorphism.

We also know from [4] that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M < \infty$. It follows that the I -adic filtration of M stabilizes to $I^q \cdot M = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} I^r \cdot M = 0$, for q big enough. Applying Lemma 3.3 to $\nu = p_G : G \twoheadrightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$, with kernel K_G , we infer that $\mathcal{V}(G) = \{1\}$.

We extract from the preceding argument the following corollary. Together with the triviality of $\mathcal{V}(T_g)$, this completes the proof of Theorem A (except for the non-triviality assertion) via Remark 2.3.

Corollary 3.5. *If $g \geq 4$, then $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$ is a nilpotent module, over both $\mathbb{C}(T_g)_{\text{ab}}$ and $\mathbb{C}(T_g)_{\text{abf}}$.*

4. INFINITESIMAL ALEXANDER INVARIANT

Our next task is to prove Theorem B and the non-triviality assertion of Theorem A. These follow from general results about infinitesimal Alexander invariants.

Let \mathfrak{h}_\bullet be a positively graded Lie algebra. Consider the exact sequence of graded Lie algebras

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}'_\bullet/\mathfrak{h}''_\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_\bullet/\mathfrak{h}''_\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_\bullet/\mathfrak{h}'_\bullet \rightarrow 0.$$

The universal enveloping algebra of the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_\bullet/\mathfrak{h}'_\bullet$ is the graded polynomial algebra $\text{Sym}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ab}})$. (When the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_\bullet is generated by \mathfrak{h}_1 , $\text{Sym}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ab}}) = \text{Sym}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}_1)$, with the usual grading.) The adjoint action in (4.1) yields a natural graded $\text{Sym}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ab}})$ -module structure on $\mathfrak{h}'_{\text{ab}}$. It will be convenient to shift degrees and define the *infinitesimal Alexander invariant* $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) := \mathfrak{h}'_{\text{ab}}[2]$, by analogy with the Alexander invariant of a group. The graded vector space $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}'_{q+2}/\mathfrak{h}''_{q+2}$, becomes in this way a graded module over $\text{Sym}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ab}})$.

When $\mathfrak{h}_\bullet = \mathfrak{g}_\bullet(G)$, we denote the graded $\text{Sym}_\bullet(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -module $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ by $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$. Note that the *degree filtration* of $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$, $\{\mathfrak{b}_{\geq q}(G)\}_{q \geq 0}$, coincides with its $(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -adic filtration, where $(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is the ideal of $\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ generated by $G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

The infinitesimal Alexander invariant, introduced and studied in [17], is functorial in the following sense. A graded Lie map $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ obviously induces a degree zero map $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(f) : \mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{k})$, equivariant with respect to the graded algebra map $\text{Sym}(f_{\text{ab}}) : \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ab}}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{k}_{\text{ab}})$.

Let $\mathbb{L}_\bullet(V)$ be the free graded Lie algebra on a finite-dimensional vector space V , graded by bracket length. Use the Lie bracket to identify $\mathbb{L}_2(V)$ and $\wedge^2 V$. For a subspace $R \subseteq \wedge^2 V$, consider the (quadratic) graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{L}(V)/\text{ideal}(R)$, with grading inherited from $\mathbb{L}_\bullet(V)$. Denote by $\iota : R \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 V$ the inclusion.

Theorem 6.2 from [17] provides the following finite, free $\text{Sym}_\bullet(V)$ -presentation for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant: $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{coker}(\nabla)$, where

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla := \text{id} \otimes \iota + \delta_3 : \text{Sym}_\bullet(V) \otimes (R \oplus \wedge^3 V) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_\bullet(V) \otimes \wedge^2 V,$$

R , $\wedge^3 V$ and $\wedge^2 V$ have degree zero, and the $\text{Sym}(V)$ -linear map δ_3 is given by $\delta_3(a \wedge b \wedge c) = a \otimes b \wedge c + b \otimes c \wedge a + c \otimes a \wedge b$, for $a, b, c \in V$.

We begin by simplifying the presentation (4.2). To this end, let $\beta : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ be the Lie bracket.

Lemma 4.1. *For any quadratic graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{coker}(\overline{\nabla})$, as graded $\text{Sym}(V)$ -modules, where the $\text{Sym}(V)$ -linear map*

$$\overline{\nabla} : \text{Sym}(V) \otimes \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2$$

is defined by $\overline{\nabla} = (\text{id} \otimes \beta) \circ \delta_3$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that the degree zero $\text{Sym}(V)$ -linear map $\text{id} \otimes \beta$ induces an isomorphism $\text{coker}(\nabla) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{coker}(\overline{\nabla})$. \square

Proof of Theorem C. In Lemma 3.3, let ν be the canonical projection $G \rightarrow G_{\text{abf}}$, with kernel K_G . By our hypothesis on $\mathcal{V}(G)$ and Remark 2.3, we infer that the module $H_1 K_G$ is nilpotent, over both $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$ and $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$. Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_1 K_G < \infty$ (since $H_1 K_G$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{abf}}$) and the $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completion map

$$(4.3) \quad H_1 K_G \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{H_1 K_G}$$

is a filtered isomorphism. Proposition 2.4 provides another filtered isomorphism,

$$(4.4) \quad \widehat{B(G)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{H_1 K_G},$$

between $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completions. A third filtered isomorphism is a consequence of our assumption on $\mathfrak{g}(G)$:

$$(4.5) \quad \widehat{B(G)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)},$$

where the completion of $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$ is taken with respect to the degree filtration; see [3, Proposition 5.4]. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)} < \infty$, we deduce that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G) < \infty$. Hence, the degree filtration is finite, and the completion map

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)}$$

is a filtered isomorphism.

By construction, the isomorphism (4.3) is equivariant with respect to the $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}$ -adic completion homomorphism, $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}}$. Again by construction, the isomorphism (4.4) is $\widehat{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}}$ -linear. By Proposition 5.4 from [3], the isomorphism (4.5) is $\widehat{\text{exp}}$ -equivariant, where $\widehat{\text{exp}}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})}$ is the identification (1.4). Since the degree filtration of $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$ coincides with its $(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -adic filtration, as noted earlier, the isomorphism (4.6) is plainly equivariant with respect to the $(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -adic completion homomorphism, $\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})}$. Putting these facts together, we deduce from (4.3)-(4.6) that the natural $\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ -module structure of the nilpotent $\mathbb{C}G_{\text{ab}}$ -module $H_1 K_G$, explained in the Introduction, is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$ over $\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, as stated in Theorem C.

To finish the proof of Theorem C, we have to show that $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}^q \cdot H_1 K_G = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b}_q(G) = 0$, for any $q \geq 0$. This assertion will follow from the easily checked remark that, given a vector space M endowed with a decreasing Hausdorff filtration

$\{F_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ (i.e., $\cap_r F_r = 0$), $F_q = 0$ if and only if $\text{gr}_{\geq q}(M) = \bigoplus_{r \geq q} F_r / F_{r+1} = 0$. Plainly, all maps (4.3)-(4.6) induce isomorphisms at the associated graded level, and all filtrations are Hausdorff. We deduce that $I_{G_{\text{ab}}}^q \cdot H_1 K_G = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{b}_r(G) = 0$ for $r \geq q$. Since $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(G)$ is generated in degree zero over $\text{Sym}(G_{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, this is equivalent to $\mathfrak{b}_q(G) = 0$. The proof of Theorem C is complete. \square

Proof of the non-triviality assertion of Theorem A. The group $G = T_g$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem C when $g \geq 4$. Consequently, if $H_1 K_g$ is a trivial $\mathbb{C}(T_g)_{\text{ab}}$ -module, then $\mathfrak{b}_1(T_g) = \mathfrak{g}_3(T_g) = 0$. This implies that $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}(T_g) = 0$, since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g)$ is generated in degree 1. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g) < \infty$, which contradicts Proposition 9.5 from [8]. \square

For the proof of Theorem B, we need to recall the main result of Hain from [8], that gives an explicit presentation of the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g)$, for $g \geq 6$, in representation-theoretic terms. For representation theory, we follow the conventions from Fulton and Harris [7], like in [8].

The conjugation action in (1.1) induces an action of $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g)$, by graded Lie algebra automorphisms. By Johnson's work, the $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $\mathfrak{g}_1(T_g)$ extends to an irreducible rational representation of $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that the $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g)$ extends to a degree-wise rational representation of $\text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$, by graded Lie algebra automorphisms. By naturality, the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(T_g)$.

The fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ are denoted $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$. The irreducible finite-dimensional representation with highest weight $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^g n_i \lambda_i$ is denoted $V(\lambda)$. By Johnson's work, $\mathfrak{g}_1(T_g) = V(\lambda_3) := V$. The irreducible decomposition of the $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -module $\wedge^2 V(\lambda_3)$ is of the form $\wedge^2 V(\lambda_3) = R \oplus V(2\lambda_2) \oplus V(0)$, with all multiplicities equal to 1. For $g \geq 6$, $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet := \mathfrak{g}_\bullet(T_g) = \mathbb{L}_\bullet(V) / \text{ideal}(R)$, as graded Lie algebras with $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -action. In particular, $\beta : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is identified with the canonical $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant projection $\wedge^2 V(\lambda_3) \rightarrow V(2\lambda_2) \oplus V(0)$.

Set $V(0) = \mathbb{C} \cdot z$, $\tilde{R} = R + \mathbb{C} \cdot z$, and denote by $\pi : \wedge^2 V(\lambda_3) \rightarrow V(2\lambda_2)$ the canonical $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant projection. Note that both $\text{id} \otimes \pi : \text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3)) \otimes \wedge^2 V(\lambda_3) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3)) \otimes V(2\lambda_2)$ and the map $\delta_3 : \text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3)) \otimes \wedge^3 V(\lambda_3) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3)) \otimes \wedge^2 V(\lambda_3)$ from (4.2) are $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -linear. Consequently,

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{\nabla} := (\text{id} \otimes \pi) \circ \delta_3 : \text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3)) \otimes \wedge^3 V(\lambda_3) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3)) \otimes V(2\lambda_2)$$

is both $\text{Sym}(V(\lambda_3))$ -linear and $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. We are going to view the $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -trivial module $\mathbb{C} \cdot z$ as a trivial $\text{Sym}_\bullet(V(\lambda_3))$ -module concentrated in degree zero, and assign degree 0 to both $\wedge^3 V(\lambda_3)$ and $V(2\lambda_2)$.

Consider the canonical, $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant graded Lie epimorphism

$$(4.8) \quad f : \mathfrak{g}_\bullet = \mathbb{L}_\bullet(V) / \text{ideal}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\bullet(V) / \text{ideal}(\tilde{R}) = \mathfrak{k}_\bullet.$$

Lemma 4.2. *The induced $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$ -linear, $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant map $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(f)$ is onto, with 1-dimensional kernel $\mathbb{C} \cdot z$.*

Proof. The first three claims are obvious. It is equally clear that $\mathfrak{b}_0(f)$ has kernel $\mathbb{C} \cdot z$. To prove injectivity in degree $q \geq 1$, start with the class \bar{x} of an arbitrary element $x \in \mathbb{L}_{q+2}(V)$. If $\mathfrak{b}(f)(\bar{x}) = 0$, then x is equal, modulo $\mathbb{L}''(V)$, with a linear combination of Lie monomials of the form $\mathrm{ad}_{v_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{v_q}(\tilde{r})$, with $\tilde{r} \in \tilde{R}$.

Therefore, \bar{x} belongs to the \mathbb{C} -span of elements of the form $\overline{\mathrm{ad}_{v_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{v_q}(z)}$. As shown in [8], the class of z is a central element of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{L}_\bullet(V)/\text{ideal}(R)$, and so we are done, since $q \geq 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. By Theorem C, $H_1 K_g = \mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$, over $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$, with \mathfrak{g} as in (4.8). By Lemma 4.2, $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot z$, as graded $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$ -modules, where $\mathbb{C} \cdot z$ is $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$ -trivial (since z is central in \mathfrak{g}), with degree 0.

By Lemma 4.1, the graded $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$ -module $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ has presentation (1.2); see (4.7). Note also that the identification $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus V(0)$ is compatible with the natural $\mathfrak{sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ -symmetry of $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{b}(T_g)$.

It remains to prove the assertion about the action of Γ_g/K_g on $H_1(K_g, \mathbb{C})$. For this we use the theory of relative completion of mapping class groups developed and studied in [8]. Denote the completion of the mapping class group with respect to the standard homomorphism $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C})$ by $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)$. Right exactness of relative completion implies that there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{G}(T_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 1$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & T_g & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_g & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{G}(T_g) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\mathcal{G}(T_g)$ denotes the Malcev completion of T_g .

The conjugation action of Γ_g on T_g induces an action of Γ_g on the Malcev Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(T_g)$ of the Torelli group. Basic properties of relative completion imply that this action factors through the natural homomorphism $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)$. This action descends to an action of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)$ on the Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{b}(T_g)$ of $\mathfrak{g}(T_g)$. Its kernel contains the image of $\mathcal{G}(T_g)'$ in $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)$. Basic facts about the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)$ given in [8] imply that, when $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)/\mathrm{im} \mathcal{G}(T_g)'$ is an extension

$$1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)/\mathrm{im} \mathcal{G}(T_g)' \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Levi's theorem implies that this sequence is split. However, we have to choose compatible splittings of the lower central series of $\mathfrak{g}(T_g)$ and this sequence. The existence

of such compatible splittings is a consequence of the existence of the mixed Hodge structures on $\mathfrak{g}(T_g)$ and on the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)$, and the fact that the weight filtration of $\mathfrak{g}(T_g)$ (suitably renumbered) is its lower central series. Such compatible mixed Hodge structures are determined by the choice of a complex structure on the reference surface Σ . With such compatible splittings, one obtains a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_g/K_g & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_g)/\text{im } \mathcal{G}(T_g)' \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{C}) \times V \\ & & \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ & & \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{b}(T_g)) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(T_g)) \end{array}$$

when $g \geq 4$. This completes the proof of Theorem B. \square

Example 4.3. Let us examine the simple case when $G = F_n$, the non-abelian free group on n generators. In this case, $H_1(K_G, \mathbb{C}) = B(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Since G is 1-formal, Theorem 5.6 from [3] identifies the I -adic completion $\widehat{H_1 K_G}$ with the degree completion $\widehat{\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\bullet(G) = \mathbb{L}_\bullet(V)$, and $V = H_1(F_n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^n$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{V}_1^1(G) = \mathcal{V}_1^1(G) \cap \mathbb{T}^0(G) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is infinite, in contrast with the setup from Theorem C. It follows from Corollary 6.2 in [18] that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_1 K_G = \infty$. It is also well-known that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = \infty$ when $n > 1$.

This non-finiteness property of $\mathfrak{b}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ can be seen concretely by using the exact Koszul complex, $\{\delta_i : P_\bullet \otimes \wedge^i V \rightarrow P_\bullet \otimes \wedge^{i-1} V\}$, where $P_\bullet = \text{Sym}(V)$. Indeed, we infer from (4.2) that, for every $q \geq 0$,

$$\mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{coker}(\delta_3 : P_{q-1} \otimes \wedge^3 V \rightarrow P_q \otimes \wedge^2 V) \cong \ker(\delta_1 : P_{q+1} \otimes V \rightarrow P_{q+2})$$

has dimension $\binom{q+n}{q+2}(q+1)$, a computation that goes back to Chen's thesis [2]. Note also that each $\mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module. It turns out that these modules are irreducible, as we now explain.

Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}\}$ be the set of fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ associated to the ordered basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V , as in [7]. One can easily check that, for each $q \geq 0$, the image v of the vector

$$u = e_1^q \otimes (e_1 \wedge e_2) \in P_q \otimes \wedge^2 V$$

in $\mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is non-zero. Since u is a highest weight vector of weight $q\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, it follows that v generates a copy of the irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module $V(q\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ in $\mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\dim V(q\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \dim \mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{b}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = V(q\lambda_1 + \lambda_2).$$

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for suggestions and comments that resulted in an improved exposition. The third author is grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn), where he completed this work, for hospitality and the excellent research atmosphere.

REFERENCES

1. K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. [2](#)
2. K. T. Chen, *Integration in free groups*, Ann. of Math. **54** (1951), 147–162. [4.3](#)
3. A. Dimca, S. Papadima, A. Suciuciu, *Topology and geometry of cohomology jump loci*, Duke Math. Journal **148** (2009), no. 3, 405–457. [1.3](#), [2](#), [4](#), [4](#), [4.3](#)
4. A. Dimca, S. Papadima, *Arithmetic group symmetry and finiteness properties of Torelli groups*, arXiv:1002.0673. [1](#), [1.3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#)
5. W. G. Dwyer, D. Fried, *Homology of free abelian covers. I*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **19** (1987), no. 4, 350–352. [1.3](#)
6. D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. [2](#), [3](#), [3](#)
7. W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation theory*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. [4](#), [4.3](#)
8. R. Hain, *Infinitesimal presentations of the Torelli groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), no. 3, 597–651. [1.1](#), [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [4](#), [4](#)
9. R. Hain, *Relative weight filtrations on completions of mapping class groups*, Groups of diffeomorphisms, 309–368, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 52, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008. [2](#)
10. E. Hironaka, *Alexander stratifications of character varieties*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **47** (1997), no. 2, 555–583. [1.3](#), [3](#)
11. D. Johnson, *An abelian quotient of the mapping class group \mathcal{T}_g* , Math. Ann. **249** (1980), 225–242. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
12. D. Johnson, *The structure of the Torelli group I: A finite set of generators for \mathcal{T}* , Ann. of Math. **118** (1983), 423–442. [1](#)
13. D. Johnson, *The structure of the Torelli group II: A characterization of the group generated by twists on bounding curves*, Topology **24** (1985), no. 2, 113–126. [1](#)
14. D. Johnson, *The structure of the Torelli group III: The abelianization of \mathcal{T}* , Topology **24** (1985), no. 2, 127–144. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
15. H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Second edition, Math. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 56, Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1980. [2](#)
16. S. Morita, *The extension of Johnson’s homomorphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 197–224. [1](#)
17. S. Papadima, A. Suciuciu, *Chen Lie algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2004**, no. 21, 1057–1086. [1.1](#), [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [4](#)
18. S. Papadima, A. Suciuciu, *Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants and homology jumping loci*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **100** (2010), no. 3, 795–834. [1.3](#), [3](#), [4.3](#)
19. S. Papadima, A. Suciuciu, *Homological finiteness in the Johnson filtration of the automorphism group of a free group*, arXiv:1011.5292. [3](#)
20. A. Putman, *The Johnson homomorphism and its kernel*, arXiv:0904.0467. [1.3](#), [3](#)
21. D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. **90** (1969), 205–295. [1.2](#), [2](#)

22. J.-P. Serre, *Lie algebras and Lie groups. Lectures given at Harvard University, 1964*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1965. [2](#)
23. J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups* *J. Algebra* **2** (1965), 170–181. [2](#)
24. D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **47** (1977), 269–331. [3](#)

INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE ET LABORATOIRE J.A. DIEUDONNÉ, UMR DU CNRS
7351, UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE SOPHIA-ANTIPOLIS, PARC VALROSE, 06108 NICE CEDEX 02,
FRANCE

E-mail address: `dimca@unice.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708-0320, USA

E-mail address: `hain@math.duke.edu`

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST,
ROMANIA

E-mail address: `Stefan.Papadima@imar.ro`